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Abstract

Aim: The aim of this study was to evaluate the role of a resorbable membrane with a bone graft substitute in the management of a circumferential infrabony defect in a maxillary left first premolar in a patient diagnosed with localised aggressive peri-odontitis. Methodology: A circumferential defect of 5 mm around the maxillary premolar was evident after debridement. The defect was filled up with a bone graft substitute and covered with a resorbable GTR membrane. Results: The site showed significant bony fill at the end of 9 months with reduction in probing depth to normal. The results were well maintained at the time of last follow-up at 12 months post-operatively. Conclusion: In this case of a circumferential defect associated with localised aggressive periodontitis, excellent results in terms of probing depth reduction and bone fill were obtained. The results were stable till the last followup at 12 months.
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Clinical Relevance

Scientific Rationale for Study: True Periodontal regeneration is an ever-elusive goal of successful periodontal regenerative therapy. Guided tissue regeneration has improved the predictability of periodontal regeneration considerably. This case was done using a resorbable membrane and bone graft substitute to combine the advantages of both.

Principal Findings: This combination technique showed excellent results in terms of bone fill and pocket reduction.

Practical Implications: In certain types of defects a combination of two different materials can combine the individual advantages and improve predictability and stability.

Introduction

The use of bone graft substitutes for treating bony defects resulting from periodontitis has been reported evaluated and reviewed quite exhaustively since the era of iliac bone grafting.1 Evidence of true periodontal regeneration has not been conclusive in case of bone grafts. As a result newer materials are constantly being researched with the aim of finding a material, which will be able to help in regenerating the lost periodontium. Guided tissue regeneration has improved the predictability of periodontal regeneration considerably and there has been considerable advancement in the research for newer GTR materials as not only barriers but also as delivery devices to release specific agents.

Case Report

A 36 year old male patient reported with the chief complaint of bleeding and swelling of gums in relation to left upper tooth since 10 days. On examination there was a peri-odontal pocket of 6.5 mm on the mesial aspect and 7 mm on the distal aspect of maxillary left second premolar (Fig 1a, 1b). The probing depth was 6 mm on buccal aspect of second premolar, 5 mm on first premolar and 3 mm on first molar. There was no tenderness or pus discharge. Radiograph revealed infrabony defects on both the mesial as well as distal aspect of 25 (Fig 2). The treatment plan was to carry out a complete debridement in 24-26 region and place a GTR membrane alone with the bone graft substitute to fill the defect.
Surgical Technique

A mucoperiosteal flap was raised from 24 to 26 region utilizing the simplified papilla preservation flap to ensure maximum coverage of the grafted site. The flap was extended to include one tooth on either side of the defect site so as to allow adequate reflection without giving a vertical incision. After complete removal of the granulation tissue and complete debridement, a circumferential defect of 5 mm was present around 25 (Fig 3). The bone graft substitute (Perioglas) was placed in the defect to fill it completely (Fig 4) and then covered with a resorbable GTR membrane (Biogide) (Fig 5). The flap was sutured approximating it on both buccal and palatal aspects to completely cover the membrane.

Post surgical treatment and follow-up

The patient was given plaque control instructions that included use of 0.12% Chlorhexidine rinse twice daily and to avoid tooth brushing in the operated quadrant. The sutures were removed 10 days following surgery. The Chlorhexidine rinse was advised for 2 more weeks. The patient was advised to brush in the operated segment using a soft toothbrush. The patient was put on regular recall at 1, 3, 6, 9 & 12 months. The symptoms of bleeding and swelling had disappeared. There was reduction in probing depth at the three month recall and by the 6 month recall the patient was comfortable with no recurrence of symptoms (Fig 6). Recession as expected is evident from the same fig but was not measured. At the 9 month recall, radiograph showed significant bony fill, evident as increase in radioopacity and these results were maintained at the time of the last recall at 12 months (Fig 7).

Discussion

The reconstruction or restoration of osseous defects caused by inflammatory periodontal disease is a continuing challenge in periodontal therapy. Bone grafting is the most common form of regenerative therapy that has been constantly explored and histologic evidence in humans has shown regeneration of bone, cementum and periodontal ligament. A good plaque control by the patient and regular maintenance visits has shown successful clinical results with bone grafting lasting for longer than 20 years. However, histologic evaluation of alloplastic bone graft substitutes has shown limited regenerative potential and these materials appear to function as non-irritating fillers.

The rationale of using GTR is based on the advantages offered by the GTR membrane. First, exclusion of the epithelium and gingival connective tissue cells form the periodontal defect during healing permits pluripotent cells from the periodontal ligament and the alveolar bone to repopulate the periodontal defect favoring periodontal regeneration as the defect heals. Second the barrier maintains space between the defect and the barrier allowing the entry of regenerative cells from the periodontal ligament and alveolar bone. Finally the membrane helps in stabilizing the clot and this may further enhance regeneration.

GTR has been widely used to treat different types of osseous defects successfully. Three-walled defects have shown the best response to GTR therapy resulting in substantial bone fill. Patient selection is an important aspect in achieving success in any therapy. The defects which have shown successful regenerative healing with GTR include narrow two or three walled defects with minimum 4mm attachment loss, a
minimum of 4mm depth infra bony defect, circumferential defects and Grade II furcation defects. Literature has shown that the combination of bone graft substitute and GTR treatment have better results as compared to GTR alone in terms of reduction in probing depth and greater gain in hard tissue probing at re-entry surgery. The clinical considerations important in selection of GTR with or without bone graft substitute are presence of adequate gingiva to cover the membrane, surgical access for root planning, placement of membrane and oral hygiene. GTR should not be performed in non-compliant patients who will not maintain adequate plaque control.

In this case, the defect selected was ideal for GTR membrane placement and to achieve the best possible regeneration a combination with alloplastic bone graft substitute was placed.

**Conclusion**

A combination of a resorbable GTR membrane with alloplastic bone graft substitute in a properly selected defect results in excellent healing with evidence of bone fill. As a result of the constant innovations through research in the field of biomaterials to improve the predictability of periodontal regeneration it is likely that some combination technique may ultimately prove to provide the ideal regeneration.
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